

## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE HONORABLE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re the Application of

Masahiko NAKANO

Group Art Unit: 3661

Application No.: 10/619,034

Examiner:

M. ZANELLI

Filed: July 15, 2003

Docket No.:

116604

For: NAVIGATION APPARATUS

## **REPLY BRIEF**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following remarks are directed to the new points of argument raised in the Examiner's Answer dated December 22, 2005.

## I. Formal Matters

The Examiner's Answer alleges, on page 3, lines 1-10, that the grounds of rejection that are <u>not</u> under review on appeal are as follows: (1) the rejection of dependent claims 7-14 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0177944 to Ihara et al. (Ihara) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,396,431 to Shimizu et al. (Shimizu); and (2) the rejection of claims 4-6 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Ihara and Shimizu, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,199,014 to Walker et al. (Walker) and U.S. Patent No. 6,182,010 to Berstis. Appellant respectfully submits that the grounds of rejection for claims 4-14 <u>are</u> under review on appeal.

Noted + toward